

SOLUTIONS OF THE YAMABE EQUATION ON HARMONIC RIEMANNIAN SUBMERSIONS

NOBUHIKO OTOBA AND JIMMY PETEAN

ABSTRACT. We study multiplicity results for the Yamabe equation on total spaces of harmonic Riemannian submersions of constant positive scalar curvature. We consider a one dimensional family of such spaces, $\varphi : (M^m, g(t)) \rightarrow (N, h)$, and study bifurcation points by restricting the Yamabe equation to the space of functions which are constant along the fibers of the submersion. Bifurcation can occur at t only if for some eigenvalue λ_i^t of $-\Delta_{g(t)}$ one has $(m-1)\lambda_i^t = \mathbf{s}_{g(t)}$. We show in this case that, if λ_i^t is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_h$ and the scalar curvature $\mathbf{s}_{g(t)}$ is a strictly monotone function of t , then t is a bifurcation point for the family. We also prove that if all λ_i^t -eigenfunctions are constant along the fibers of the submersion then so are all local solutions of the Yamabe equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M^m, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$. The Yamabe problem consists of finding metrics of constant scalar curvature in $[g] = \{fg \mid f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$, the conformal class of g .

If we express a conformal metric as $\tilde{g} = u^{p_m-2}g$ for some positive function u , where $p_m = 2m/(m-2)$, then $\tilde{g}$ has constant scalar curvature $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if the function u satisfies the g -Yamabe equation:

$$(1.1) \quad -a_m \Delta_g u + \mathbf{s}_g u = \mathbf{s} u^{p_m-1}.$$

Here $a_m = 4(m-1)/(m-2)$ and $\mathbf{s}_g$ denotes the scalar curvature of the metric g .

In the case of closed manifolds it was proved that at least one solution exists following a program introduced by H. Yamabe in [19]. Consider the Hilbert–Einstein functional S on the space of Riemannian metrics on M ,

$$S(g) = \frac{\int_M \mathbf{s}_g d\mu_g}{\text{Vol}(M, g)^{2/p_m}},$$

N. Otaba is supported by the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft), SFB 1085 Higher Invariants.

J. Petean is supported by grant 220074 Fondo Sectorial de Investigación para la Educación CONACYT.

where we denote by $d\mu_g$ the volume element induced by g , and restrict it to the conformal class $[g]$. Once a metric g in a conformal class is chosen, metrics in the conformal class are parametrized by positive functions and one gets the g -Yamabe functional:

$$Y_g(u) = S(u^{p_m-2}g) = \frac{\int_M a_m |\nabla u|^2 + s_g u^2 d\mu_g}{(\int_M u^{p_m} d\mu_g)^{2/p_m}}.$$

Critical points u of Y_g are solutions of the Yamabe equation, so if u is positive the corresponding metric $u^{p_m-2}g$ has constant scalar curvature. Two facts are easy to deduce: the Yamabe functional is bounded below and if the conformal class admits a metric of constant non-positive scalar curvature then it is a minimizer for the functional and the unique metric of constant scalar curvature in the conformal class (up to multiplication by a positive constant). The fact that there is at least one solution was proved in a series of articles by T. Aubin [2], N. Trudinger [17] and R. Schoen [15] by showing that the infimum is always achieved, fixing the gap in H. Yamabe's original article.

When the minimizer has constant positive scalar curvature there might be other solutions of the Yamabe equation. In the present article we will prove multiplicity results using bifurcation theory. We will consider a smooth path of constant scalar curvature Riemannian manifolds with a harmonic Riemannian submersion to some lower dimensional Riemannian manifold (N, h) and study bifurcation instants: the values of the parameter when new solutions appear.

Definition 1.1. *Consider a family $(M, g(t))$ of Riemannian metrics of constant scalar curvature, where $t \in I$ (an open interval in $\mathbb{R}$). Then $t_0 \in I$ is called a bifurcation instant of the family if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t \in (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon)$ and a solution $u(t)$ of the $g(t)$ -Yamabe equation which is non-constant and $\|u(t) - 1\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} < \varepsilon$.*

Then let $(M, g(t))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a family of Riemannian metrics on M of constant positive scalar curvature and assume that there are harmonic Riemannian submersions $\varphi : (M, g(t)) \rightarrow (N, h)$ onto a fixed Riemannian manifold (N, h) . We will study bifurcation instants for such a family. The examples we will be mostly interested in are:

(1) Riemannian products $(M, g(t)) = (N \times F, h \oplus \hat{g}(t))$ for a family of Riemannian manifolds $(F, \hat{g}(t))$, where $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is the projection onto the first factor. Note that in this case we must have that the scalar curvatures of both h and $\hat{g}(t)$ are constant (for all t).

And more generally

(2) Riemannian submersions $\varphi : (M, g(t)) \rightarrow (N, h)$ with totally geodesic fibers. In this case, the typical fiber does not necessarily have constant scalar curvature [12, 13].

The case of Riemannian products is particularly interesting for understanding the behaviour of the Yamabe invariant under surgery, since Riemannian products appear naturally in surgery formulae. It has been treated in [9] in general and in [11, 14, 10] when the base is a round sphere. Case (2) is treated in [5, 6], where the authors considered the case of a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers and the family of metrics obtained by squeezing the fibers. Also, in the previous articles [12, 13], we considered the case when the base space is a Riemannian homogeneous space but the family of metrics are not necessarily obtained by such deformations.

We will first prove a general result about bifurcation instants:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\varphi : (M^m, g(t)) \rightarrow (N, h)$, $t \in I$, be a smooth family of harmonic Riemannian submersions. Assume that the scalar curvature $\mathbf{s}_{g(t)}$ is constant for every $t \in I$. Let $t_0 \in I$ be such that there is an eigenvalue μ_i of $-\Delta_h$ with $\mathbf{s}_{g(t_0)} = (m-1)\mu_i$ and assume that $\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{s}_{g(t)}(t_0) \neq 0$. Then t_0 is a bifurcation instant.*

This theorem is applicable to the metrics we considered previously in [12, 13].

Remark 1.3. *Let g_0^n denote the metric of constant curvature 1 on the n -sphere. It admits a finite dimensional family of conformal diffeomorphisms which induce solutions of the Yamabe equation. Consider a one-dimensional family of such metrics. All elements of the family are isometric and in the same conformal class. But taking the Riemannian product with a circle S^1 we obtain a family of metrics on $S^n \times S^1$ which are isometric, but which are all in different conformal classes. The projection over the circle is a harmonic Riemannian submersion. If λ_1^0 is the first positive eigenvalue of the circle and if we normalize the metrics on S^n to have scalar curvature $n\lambda_1^0$ then we would have all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 except for the condition on the derivative of the scalar curvature function (which in this case is constant) and there is no non-constant solutions of the Yamabe equation for the metrics in the family, so in particular there is no bifurcation.*

To prove the theorem we will consider the space of *horizontal* functions, i.e. functions which are constant along the fibers of π , of class $C^{2,\alpha}$, and apply the techniques of bifurcation theory to this space $C_H^{2,\alpha}(M) \subset C^{2,\alpha}(M)$.

To put the theorem into context let us consider the known related results for the particular cases of Riemannian submersions with totally geodesic fibers.

In the simplest case of Riemannian products $(M^m, g(t)) = (N \times F, h \oplus \hat{g}(t))$, where the scalar curvatures of h and $\hat{g}(t)$ are constant we will be assuming that $\mathbf{s}_{\hat{g}(t)}$ is a strictly monotone function of t . Note that $[g(t)] \neq [g(s)]$ if $s \neq t$. The most common case to consider is $\hat{g}(t) = t\hat{g}$ for some fixed Riemannian metric $\hat{g}$ of constant

positive scalar curvature on F and $t \in (0, \infty)$. If μ_i is the i -th eigenvalue of $-\Delta_h$ and t_i verifies $\mathbf{s}_h + \mathbf{s}_{\hat{g}}/t_i = (m-1)\mu_i$ then Theorem 1.2 tells us that t_i is a bifurcation instant. Note that as $i \rightarrow \infty$ we have $t_i \rightarrow 0$. By reversing the roles of N and F we also get a sequence of bifurcation instants going to ∞ , provided that h also has positive scalar curvature. Therefore we have:

Corollary 1.4. *Let (N, h) and $(F, \hat{g})$ be closed Riemannian manifolds of constant positive scalar curvature. Consider the family of constant scalar curvature Riemannian manifolds $(N \times F, h \oplus t\hat{g})$, $t \in (0, \infty)$. There are sequences $t_i^1 \rightarrow 0$ and $t_i^2 \rightarrow \infty$ such that each t_i^j is a bifurcation instant for the family.*

This corollary under a certain nondegeneracy condition was proved by L. L. de Lima, P. Piccione and M. Zedda in [9, Theorem 4.5] by studying bifurcation in the full space $C^{2,\alpha}(N \times F)$.

Consider now the case when $\varphi : (M, g(t)) \rightarrow (N, h)$ is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers $(F, g(t)|_F) = (F, tg(1)|_F)$, i.e. the path of metrics is obtained by shrinking the fibers. Then Theorem 1.2 says:

Corollary 1.5. *Let $\varphi : (M, g(t)) \rightarrow (N, h)$ be the canonical variation of a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers. Assume that $g(t)$ has constant scalar curvature for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and that $\mathbf{s}_{g(t)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. There is a sequence $t_i \rightarrow 0$ of bifurcation instants for the family.*

This corollary is proved by R. Bettiol and P. Piccione in [6, Theorem B] under certain assumptions on the curvature of the fiber and total space.

The next result shows that when all the corresponding eigenfunctions are horizontal then all the solutions of the corresponding Yamabe equation in a neighborhood of the bifurcation instant are horizontal.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\varphi : (M^m, g(t)) \rightarrow (N, h)$, $t \in I$ be a family of harmonic Riemannian submersions. Assume that the scalar curvature $\mathbf{s}_{g(t)}$ is constant for every $t \in I$. Let μ be an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_h$ (then it is also an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{g(t)}$ for all t). Assume that for some $t_0 \in I$ we have $\mathbf{s}_{g(t_0)} = (m-1)\mu$, $\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{s}_{g(t)}(t_0) \neq 0$ (so that t_0 is a bifurcation instant from Theorem 1.2) and that all eigenfunctions for $-\Delta_{g(t)}$ with eigenvalue μ are horizontal functions. Then for t close to t_0 all solutions of the $g(t)$ -Yamabe equation close to 1 are horizontal functions.*

The following result provides some situations for which the assumptions of Theorem 1.6 hold.

Theorem 1.7. *In the situation of Corollary 1.5, assume further that the typical fiber is stable with respect to the Yamabe functional. If either t is close to 0 or $\mathbf{s}_h \leq 0$, then every eigenfunction is horizontal.*

In Section 2 we will describe the basic results on bifurcation theory that we will use in Section 3 to prove Theorems 1.2, 1.6. We prove Theorem 1.7 in Section 4.

2. BIFURCATION FOR FAMILIES OF CONSTANT SCALAR CURVATURE RIEMANNIAN SUBMERSIONS

Consider a smooth family of Riemannian metrics $g(t)$ on a closed manifold M^m , where t belongs to an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

We will first briefly describe the basic setup to study bifurcation instants (as in Definition 1.1) for such a family, in the form we will use in the proofs of the Theorems 1.2, 1.6. A very detailed and clear discussion is given by L. L. de Lima, P. Piccione and M. Zedda in [9, Sections 2,3].

For each $t \in I$ we will consider the $g(t)$ -Yamabe functional $Y_{g(t)} : C^{2,\alpha}(M) - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$Y_{g(t)}(u) = \frac{\int_M a_m |\nabla u|^2 + \mathbf{s}_{g(t)} u^2 d\mu_{g(t)}}{(\int_M u^{p_m} d\mu_{g(t)})^{2/p_m}}.$$

We will assume always that the scalar curvature $\mathbf{s}_{g(t)}$ is constant and positive for every $t \in I$. We are interested in finding new solutions to the $g(t)$ -Yamabe equation (as mentioned in the introduction, this is only possible when the scalar curvature is positive):

$$(2.1) \quad -a_m \Delta_{g(t)} u + \mathbf{s}_{g(t)}(u - u^{p_m-1}) = 0.$$

Let $B_t = -a_m \Delta_{g(t)} + \text{Id} : C^{4,\alpha}(M) \rightarrow C^{2,\alpha}(M)$. B_t is a linear continuous isomorphism with inverse $T_t : C^{2,\alpha} \rightarrow C^{4,\alpha} \subset C^{2,\alpha}$. T_t is a compact linear operator.

Solving the $g(t)$ -Yamabe equation (2.1) is equivalent to finding solutions of the operator equation

$$(2.2) \quad u + T_t ((\mathbf{s}_{g(t)} - 1) u - \mathbf{s}_{g(t)} u^{p_m-1}) = 0.$$

We write

$$G(u, t) = G_t(u) = T_t ((\mathbf{s}_{g(t)} - 1) u - \mathbf{s}_{g(t)} u^{p_m-1}).$$

Then we call $S(u, t) = \text{Id} + G_t(u)$. Note that G_t is a compact map, $S(1, t) = 0$ for all $t \in I$ and

$$G'_u(1, t)[v] = T_t [(2 - p) \mathbf{s}_{g(t)} - 1] v.$$

Then $t_0 \in I$ is a bifurcation instant for the family of constant scalar curvature metrics $g(t)$ if and only if $(1, t_0)$ is a bifurcation point for the operator equation (2.2): $S(u, t) = 0$, $S : C^{2,\alpha}(M) \times I \rightarrow C^{2,\alpha}(M)$.

If $\{\lambda_i^t\}$ are the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{g(t)}$ then the eigenvalues of B_t are $\{1 + \lambda_i^t a_m\}$ and the eigenvalues of T_t are $\{(1 + \lambda_i^t a_m)^{-1}\}$.

The eigenvalues of $G'_u(1, t)$ are then $\{\mu_i^t = -((p_m - 2)\mathbf{s}_{g(t)} + 1)(1 + \lambda_i^t a_m)^{-1}\}$.

If $\mu_i^{t_0} \neq -1$ for all i then by Fredholm alternative $S'_u(1, t_0) : C^{2,\alpha}(M) \rightarrow C^{2,\alpha}(M)$ is invertible and then by the basic result in bifurcation theory (see for instance [1, Proposition 2.2]) we have that $(1, t_0)$ is not a bifurcation point for $S(u, t) = 0$.

But $\mu_i^{t_0} = -1$ if and only if $a_m \lambda_i^{t_0} = (p_m - 2)\mathbf{s}_{g(t_0)}$. It follows that if for all i

$$\lambda_i^{t_0} \neq \frac{\mathbf{s}_{g(t_0)}}{m-1}$$

then t_0 is not a bifurcation instant for the family (this is proved in [9, Proposition 3.1]).

We will now consider a family of constant scalar curvature metrics $g(t)$ on M , $t \in I$, with Riemannian submersions $\varphi : (M, g(t)) \rightarrow (N, h)$. We will consider t_0 such that for some i , $(m-1)\lambda_i^{t_0} = \mathbf{s}_{g(t_0)}$ and study if t_0 is actually a bifurcation instant. We will do this by studying solutions of the Yamabe equation which are constant along the fibers and we will call such functions *horizontal*:

Definition 2.1. *Let $\varphi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ be a Riemannian submersion. A function $F \in C^{2,\alpha}(M)$ is said to be horizontal if there exists $f \in C^{2,\alpha}(N)$ such that $F = f \circ \varphi$. We denote by $C_H^{2,\alpha}$ the space of horizontal functions.*

It was proved by Eells–Sampson [7] that the Laplacians of g and h commute with the projection $\varphi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ of the Riemannian submersion precisely when φ is a harmonic map (see also [18], [3]). So for any $f \in C^{2,\alpha}(N)$ we have that $\Delta_g(f \circ \varphi) = \Delta_h(f) \circ \varphi$.

By the previous comments $C_H^{2,\alpha}$ is invariant under $\Delta_{g(t)}$ for all t . It follows that we can restrict S to obtain $S_H : C_H^{2,\alpha}(M) \times I \rightarrow C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)$. In the next section we will prove Theorem 1.2 by studying bifurcation for this restricted functional. In Theorem 1.6 we prove that in many cases all solutions close to the bifurcation instants are obtained in this form.

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.2 AND 1.6

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{s}_{g(t)}(t_0) \neq 0$ the scalar curvature function gives a diffeomorphism, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, between $(t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon)$ and an interval J containing $\mathbf{s}_{g(t_0)}$.

Suppose $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(N)$ satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad -a_m \Delta_h u + \mathbf{s}_{g(t)} u = \mathbf{s}_{g(t)} u^{p_m-1}.$$

Then according to the previous section $\bar{u} = u \circ \varphi \in C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)$ satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad -a_m \Delta_{g(t)} \bar{u} + s_{g(t)} \bar{u} = s_{g(t)} \bar{u}^{p_m-1},$$

i.e. $\bar{u}$ is a solution for the $g(t)$ -Yamabe equation.

Then we consider the gradient operator $K : C^{2,\alpha}(N) \times J \rightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(N)$, $K(u, \mu) = -a_m \Delta_h u + \mu u - \mu u^{p_m-1}$. We have that u is a solution of the equation (3.1) with $s_{g(t)} = \mu$ if and only if $K(u, \mu) = 0$.

We consider the trivial path of solutions $(1, \mu)$ of this operator equation. We have

$$K'_u(1, \mu)[v] = -a_m \Delta_h v + \mu(2 - p_m)v = -a_m \left(\Delta_h v + \frac{\mu}{m-1} v \right).$$

Then for $\mu = s_{g(t_0)}$ we have a nontrivial kernel for $K'_u(1, \mu)$. Since the spectrum of $-\Delta_h$ is discrete we can assume that for all other values of $\mu \in J$, $K'_u(1, \mu)$ is an isomorphism. Then the number of negative eigenvalues of $K'_u(1, \mu)$, which is the number of eigenvalues of $-\Delta_h$ which are less than $\mu/(m-1)$ changes as μ crosses $s_{g(t_0)}$. Then there is a jump in the Morse index and it is known (see [16, Theorem 2.1]) that $(1, s_{g(t_0)})$ is a bifurcation point for the path of solutions $(1, \mu)$ of $K(u, \mu) = 0$. This proves the theorem. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.6. For $t \in I$ let $T_t : C^{2,\alpha}(M) \rightarrow C^{4,\alpha}(M)$ be the inverse operator of $-a_m \Delta_{g(t)} + \text{Id}$ (as in the previous section). T_t is a compact linear operator. u is a solution of the $g(t)$ -Yamabe equation if and only if it solves the equation (2.2), which we write as in Section 2 as $S(u, t) = u + G(u, t) = 0$, where $G(u, t) = G_t(u) = T_t((s-1)u - su^{p-1})$ is a compact map. Note that $(1, t)$ is a family of solutions of the equation.

Let

$$V = \{v \in C^{2,\alpha}(M) : G'_u(1, t_0)(v) = -v\} = \{v \in C^{2,\alpha}(M) : S'_u(1, t_0)(v) = 0\}.$$

Let λ be the eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{g(t_0)}$ such that $(m-1)\lambda = s_{g(t_0)}$. Note that $v \in V$ if and only if $-\Delta_{g(t_0)} v = \lambda v$. Therefore by hypothesis $V \subset C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)$. Let R be the range of $S'_u(1, t_0) : C^{2,\alpha}(M) \rightarrow C^{2,\alpha}(M)$. Consider the L^2 -orthogonal complements W and Z of V and R , respectively: $C^{2,\alpha}(M) = V \oplus W = R \oplus Z$. Let $P : C^{2,\alpha}(M) \rightarrow Z$, $Q : C^{2,\alpha}(M) \rightarrow R$ be the projections. Then by applying the implicit function theorem one obtains the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction: there is a neighborhood U of $(0, t_0)$ in $V \times I$ and a unique map $f : U \subset V \times I \rightarrow R$, $f(0, t_0) = 0$, such that $Q(S(1+v+f(v, t), t)) = 0$. We have that if $(u, t) = (v+w, t) \in U$ then $(1+u, t)$ is a solution of (2.2) if and only if $w = f(v, t)$ and $P(S(1+v+f(v, t), t)) = 0$ (this is known as the bifurcation equation, see for instance [1, Section 2.2]).

Since the Riemannian submersion $\varphi : (M, g(t)) \rightarrow (N, h)$ is harmonic we have that $C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)$ is invariant under $-a_m \Delta_{g(t)} + \text{Id}$. Actually by definition $C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)$ can be

identified with $C^{2,\alpha}(N)$ and then $(-a_m\Delta_{g(t)} + \text{Id})|_{C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)}$ with $-a_m\Delta_h + \text{Id}$. Therefore we have the restriction of T_t to $C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)$ which we denote by $T_{t_H} : C_H^{2,\alpha}(M) \rightarrow C_H^{4,\alpha}(M) \subset C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)$. We also write $S|_{C_H^{2,\alpha}(M) \times I} = S_H$.

Now we apply the previous bifurcation procedure to the restriction $S_H : C_H^{2,\alpha} \times I \rightarrow C_H^{2,\alpha}$. We write $W_H = W \cap C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)$, $Z_H = Z \cap C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)$ and $R_H = R \cap C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)$.

It is an elementary observation that actually $W = R$ and R_H is the range of $(S_H)'_u(1, t_0)$:

Lemma 3.1. *$W = R$ and the range of $(S_H)'_u(1, t_0)$ is equal to $R \cap C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.1. It is clear that the range of $(S_H)'_u(1, t_0)$ is a subset of $R \cap C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)$. On the other hand if $S'_u(1, t_0)[w] = v$ we have that $w + T_t[((2 - p_m)\mathbf{s}_{g(t)} - 1)w] = v$. We apply B_t to obtain that $B_t[w] + ((2 - p_m)\mathbf{s}_{g(t)} - 1)w = B_t[v]$. Therefore we have

$$(3.3) \quad -a_m\Delta_{g(t)}w + (2 - p_m)\mathbf{s}_{g(t)}w = -a_m \left(\Delta_{g(t)}w + \frac{\mathbf{s}_{g(t)}}{m-1}w \right) = -a_m\Delta_{g(t)}v + v.$$

If we let $t = t_0$ and $\lambda = \mathbf{s}_{g(t_0)}/(m-1)$ then we have that there exists w satisfying the identity if and only if $-a_m\Delta_{g(t_0)}v + v$ is in the L^2 -orthogonal complement of V in $C^{0,\alpha}(M)$. But since B_t is self-adjoint and keeps V invariant this means that $v \in W$. This proves that $W = R$ and in case $v \in C_H^{2,\alpha}(M) = C^{2,\alpha}(N)$ there exists $w \in C_H^{2,\alpha}(M) = C^{2,\alpha}(N)$ satisfying (3.3) which means that v is in the range of $(S_H)'_u(1, t_0)$. $\square$

Then $C_H^{2,\alpha}(M) = V \oplus W_H = R_H \oplus Z_H$. Let $P_H : C_H^{2,\alpha}(M) \rightarrow Z_H$, $Q_H : C_H^{2,\alpha}(M) \rightarrow R_H$ be the projections. By applying the inverse function theorem as before, we obtain a map $f_H : U \subset V \times J \rightarrow R_H \subset R$, $f_H(0, t_0) = 0$, such that $Q_H(S(1 + v + f_H(v, \lambda), \lambda)) = 0$. But Q_H is the restriction of Q to $C_H^{2,\alpha}(M)$, therefore $Q(S(1 + v + f_H(v, t), t)) = 0$ and by uniqueness we must have $f = f_H$ and all solutions in a neighborhood of $(1, t_0)$ are of the form $(u, t) = (1 + v + f_H(v, t), t) \in C_H^{2,\alpha} \times J$. $\square$

4. HOPF FIBRATIONS REVISITED

In this section, we consider the canonical variation

$$(F^k, t\hat{g}) \rightarrow (M^m, g(t)) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (N, h)$$

of a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers. Assume henceforth that $g(t)$ has constant scalar curvature for every $t > 0$; this is equivalent to saying that both $\hat{g}$ and h have constant scalar curvature (cf. [13, Proposition 3.2]). Note that

all the examples previously considered in de Lima–Piccione–Zedda [8, 9], Bettiol–Piccione [5, 6] fall into this situation, including the Hopf fibrations [5], but this is not necessarily the case for the metrics we constructed in [12, 13].

Ideally, we would like to determine all the bifurcation points along the family $\{g(t)\}$, i.e., the set $B \subset (0, \infty)$ of bifurcation instants. For this, we first try to understand the degeneracy points along $\{g(t)\}$, i.e., the set

$$D = \{t > 0 \mid \lambda = \mathbf{s}_{g(t)}/(m-1) \text{ for some } \lambda \in \text{Spec}(-\Delta_{g(t)}) - \{0\}\}.$$

Note that an implicit function theorem [9, Proposition 3.1] implies $B \subset D$. On the one hand, every eigenvalue $\lambda \geq 0$ of $-\Delta_{g(t)}$ can be written as

$$\lambda = b + \hat{\lambda}/t,$$

where $\hat{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{\hat{g}}$ and b is an eigenvalue of the horizontal Laplacian (see [3], [5, Section 3]). On the other hand,

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{s}_{g(t)} = \mathbf{s}_h + \mathbf{s}_{\hat{g}}/t - t|A|^2,$$

where A is the O’Neill’s integrability tensor (see [4, (9.70d)]). Hence the degeneracy equation $\lambda = \mathbf{s}_{g(t)}/(m-1) > 0$ holds if and only if

$$(4.2) \quad \left(b - \frac{\mathbf{s}_h}{m-1}\right) + \frac{1}{t} \left(\hat{\lambda} - \frac{\mathbf{s}_{\hat{g}}}{m-1}\right) + t \frac{|A|^2}{m-1} = 0,$$

with $b > 0$ or $\hat{\lambda} > 0$.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that the horizontal Laplacian has discrete eigenvalues. The set D of degeneracy instants along $\{g(t)\}$ is non-discrete if and only if*

$$(4.3) \quad |A| = 0, \quad b - \frac{\mathbf{s}_h}{m-1} = \hat{\lambda} - \frac{\mathbf{s}_{\hat{g}}}{m-1} = 0 \text{ for some } \lambda = b + \hat{\lambda} \in \text{Spec}(-\Delta_{g(1)})$$

holds, in which case D is necessarily equal to $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. If (4.3) holds, then it follows from (4.2) that $D = (0, \infty)$, which is not discrete.

Conversely, assume that (4.3) does not hold. We prove discreteness of D by showing that every convergent sequence in D has a subsequence which is eventually constant. Let $\{t_l\}_{l \geq 1} \subset D$ be convergent. For each $l \geq 1$, there is an eigenvalue $\lambda(l)$ of $-\Delta_{g(t_l)}$ such that $\lambda(l) = \mathbf{s}_{g(t_l)}/(m-1)$. Since the one-parameter family $\{g(t)\}$ is smooth, the corresponding sequence $\mathbf{s}_{g(t_l)}$ of scalar curvatures converges. Hence $\lambda(l)$ converges. We write $\lambda(l) = b(l) + \hat{\lambda}(l)/t_l$ where $b(l)$ and $\hat{\lambda}(l)$ are eigenvalues of the horizontal Laplacian and $-\Delta_{\hat{g}}$, respectively. Since $0 \leq \hat{\lambda}(l) = t_l(\lambda(l) - b(l)) \leq t_l\lambda(l)$, it follows that $\hat{\lambda}(l)$ is a bounded sequence. Discreteness of $\text{Spec}(-\Delta_{\hat{g}})$ then implies that we can choose a subsequence of $\{t_l\}$ so that $\hat{\lambda}(l)$ does not depend on l . The

similar argument, using the assumption that the horizontal Laplacian has discrete eigenvalues, shows that we can further subtract a subsequence so that both $\hat{\lambda}(l)$ and $b(l)$ are constant. Since (4.3) does not hold, the polynomial equation (4.2) of t has at most two solutions, and we conclude that $\{t_l\}$ has a subsequence which is eventually constant. $\square$

Discreteness of D is important when applying bifurcation criteria such as Theorems 3.3, 3.4 in [9]. Note that the condition $|A| = 0$ amounts to saying that $(M, g(t))$ is locally the direct product $(N, h) \times (F, \hat{g})$. In the case of (global) direct product, discreteness of D is equivalent to the assumption that $(N, h), (F, \hat{g})$ is a non-degenerate pair (see [9, p. 269]).

We prove Theorem 1.7 in the following disguise.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume $\dim F = k \geq 2$, $\hat{\lambda}_1(-\Delta_{\hat{g}}) \geq \mathbf{s}_{\hat{g}}/(k-1) > 0$. Let $t > 0$ satisfy*

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\mathbf{s}_h}{m-1} < \frac{\mathbf{s}_{\hat{g}}}{t} \left(\frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{m-1} \right).$$

Then, if $\lambda = \mathbf{s}_{g(t)}/(m-1)$ for some $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(-\Delta_{g(t)}) - \{0\}$, then $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(-\Delta_h)$ and every λ -eigenfunction is horizontal.

Note that, for $k \geq 3$, the assumption $\hat{\lambda}_1 \geq \mathbf{s}_{\hat{g}}/(k-1)$ is equivalent to the stability of $\hat{g}$ with respect to the Yamabe functional $S|_{[\hat{g}]}$ and that (4.4) holds if either t is close to 0 or $\mathbf{s}_h \leq 0$. Hence Theorem 4.2 implies Theorem 1.7.

Proof. Suppose (4.2) holds for $\hat{\lambda} \geq \hat{\lambda}_1$. Then, since the horizontal Laplacian has nonnegative eigenvalues,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left(b - \frac{\mathbf{s}_h}{m-1} \right) + \frac{1}{t} \left(\hat{\lambda} - \frac{\mathbf{s}_{\hat{g}}}{m-1} \right) + t \frac{|A|^2}{m-1} \\ &\geq -\frac{\mathbf{s}_h}{m-1} + \frac{1}{t} \left(\hat{\lambda}_1 - \frac{\mathbf{s}_{\hat{g}}}{m-1} \right) \geq -\frac{\mathbf{s}_h}{m-1} + \frac{\mathbf{s}_{\hat{g}}}{t} \left(\frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{m-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the contrapositive, we notice that (4.4) implies $\hat{\lambda} = 0$, since $-\Delta_{\hat{g}}$ has discrete spectrum. Hence $\lambda = b + \hat{\lambda}/t = b$ is an eigenvalue of the horizontal Laplacian. Since λ is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{g(t)}$ at the same time, it follows $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(-\Delta_h)$ and all the eigenfunctions corresponding to λ are constant along the fibers. $\square$

Bettioli–Piccione [5, Theorems 5.4, 6.5, 7.5] completely determined the bifurcation instants along the family $\{g(t)\}$ in the case of Hopf fibrations. In particular, they showed

$$\begin{aligned} B &= D, \\ D &= \{t > 0 \mid \mu = \mathbf{s}_{g(t)}/(m-1) \text{ for some } \mu \in \text{Spec}(-\Delta_h) - \{0\}\} =: D_H. \end{aligned}$$

That is, in the case of Hopf fibrations, bifurcation occurs at every degeneracy instant, and degeneration only occurs due to horizontal eigenfunctions.

For a general canonical variation of constant scalar curvature, we always have $D_H \subset B \subset D$, provided either the fiber is not scalar flat or the horizontal distribution is not integrable. The former inclusion is a consequence of Theorem 1.2. On the one hand, under the assumption of Theorem 4.2, $D_H \cap (0, \delta) = D \cap (0, \delta)$ for a small $\delta > 0$. On the other hand, under the assumption $|A| > 0$ of non-integrability, $D \cap (\rho, \infty) = \emptyset$ for a large $\rho > 0$ since (4.1) implies $\mathbf{s}_{g(t)} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. For example, the quaternionic or octonionic Hopf fibrations satisfy these two assumptions at the same time. Hence, in this way, we can provide an alternative proof of the interesting results $D_H = B = D$ due to Bettiol–Piccione [5, Theorems 6.5, 7.5] outside a compact interval.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, A. Malchiodi, *Nonlinear analysis and semilinear elliptic problems*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 104, Cambridge University Press (2007)
- [2] T. Aubin, *Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **55**, 269–296 (1976)
- [3] L. Bérard Bergery, J.-P. Bourguignon, *Laplacians and Riemannian submersions with totally geodesic fibres*, Illinois J. Math. **26**, no. 2, 181–200 (1982)
- [4] A. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Reprint of the 1987 edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag (2008)
- [5] R. Bettiol, P. Piccione, *Bifurcation and local rigidity of homogeneous solutions to the Yamabe problem on spheres*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **47**, no. 3-4, 789–807 (2013)
- [6] R. Bettiol, P. Piccione, *Multiplicity of solutions to the Yamabe problem on collapsing Riemannian submersions*, Pacific J. Math. **266**, no. 1, 1–21 (2013)
- [7] J. Eells, J. H. Sampson, *Harmonic Mappings of Riemannian Manifolds*, American J. Math. **86**, no. 1, 109–160 (1964)
- [8] L.L. de Lima, P. Piccione, M. Zedda, *A note on the uniqueness of solutions for the Yamabe problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140**, no. 12, 4351–4357 (2012)
- [9] L.L. de Lima, P. Piccione, M. Zedda, *On bifurcation of solutions of the Yamabe problem in product manifolds*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **29**, 261–277 (2012)
- [10] G. Henry, J. Petean, *Isoparametric hypersurfaces and metrics of constant scalar curvature*, Asian J. Math. **18**, 53–68 (2014)
- [11] Q. Jin, Y.Y. Li, H. Xu, *Symmetry and asymmetry: the method of moving spheres*, Adv. Differential Equations **13**, 601–640 (2008)
- [12] N. Otoba, *Constant scalar curvature metrics on Hirzebruch surfaces*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **46**, 197–223 (2014)
- [13] N. Otoba, J. Petean, *Metrics of constant scalar curvature on sphere bundles*, Differential Geom. Appl. **46**, 146–163 (2016)
- [14] J. Petean, *Metrics of constant scalar curvature conformal to Riemannian products*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138**, no. 8, 2897–2905 (2010)
- [15] R. Schoen, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*, J. Differential. Geometry **20**, 479–495 (1984)

- [16] J. Smoller, A.G. Wasserman, *Bifurcation and symmetry breaking*, Invent. math. **100**, 63–95 (1990)
- [17] N. Trudinger, *Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Annali Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **22**, 265–274 (1968)
- [18] B. Watson, *Manifold maps commuting with the Lapacian*, J. Differential Geometry **8**, 85–94 (1973)
- [19] H. Yamabe, *On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Osaka J. Math. **12**, 21–37 (1960)

UNIVERSITÄT REGENSBURG, 93040 REGENSBURG, GERMANY
E-mail address: nobuhiko.otoba@ur.de otoba@math.keio.ac.jp

CIMAT, A.P. 402, 36000, GUANAJUATO. GTO., MÉXICO.
E-mail address: jimmy@cimat.mx